

Hyde Park - SWMP
Preliminary Ranking Descriptions and Criteria
9/28/2018



Field	Column	Explanation	Scoring Criteria	Score
BMP ID	A	This is an assigned ID code unique to each project.		
Project Name	B	This field contains the name of the project.		
Latitude	C	Latitude of the project.		
Longitude	D	Longitude of the project.		
Site Description	E	A description of the site.		
BMP Address	F	The nearest cross street or approximate physical address of the BMP.		
BMP Type	G	Type of BMP proposed.		
BMP Description	H	A description of the proposed BMP.		
Feasibility Issues	I	A description of the feasibility of the proposed BMP. Any potential issues are listed.		
New or Existing BMP Retrofit	J	This field contains "new" if the proposed BMP is an entirely new project or "existing" if the proposed BMP is a retrofit of an existing BMP.		
Existing BMP Comments	K	A description of the existing BMP, if applicable.		
Project Moving Forward?	L	This field contains "yes" if the project is to be pursued or "no" if the project is not to be pursued.		
If no, why?	M	A description of why the project will not be pursued, if applicable.		
Soil Group	N	Mapped NRCS hydrologic soil group where the proposed BMP is located.		
Ownership	O	This field contains the ownership of the land where the BMP is located (i.e. Town, VTrans, Private, etc.)		
Site Type	P	A description of the type of site where the BMP is located (i.e. Road/ROW, Educational, Institutional, etc.)		
Stormwater Permit?	Q	This field contains "yes" if there is a current stormwater permit at the site where the proposed BMP is located or "no" if there is not.		
Act 250 Permit?	R	This field contains "yes" if there is an Act 250 permit at the site where the proposed BMP is located or "no" if there is not.		
Retrofit Priority	S	Retrofit priority ranging from very low to very high.		
Retrofit Priority Score	T	Priority for the proposed projects. Greater retrofit priority received a higher score.	Very High	35
			High	20
			Medium	10
			Low	2
			Very Low	1
Auxiliary Benefits	U	This criteria is to account for indirect project benefits.		
Auxiliary Benefits Score	V	This criteria is to account for indirect project benefits.	Chronic Problem Area	20
			Seasonal Flooding	20
			Educational	10
			Reduce Runoff from Heavily-Used Impervious	10
			High Visibility	10
			Practice Includes Buffer to Waterbodies	10
Drainage Area Size (S-M-L)	W	Natural groupings within the range of drainage area sizes were identified and categorized as small, medium, or large.		
Drainage Area Size Score	X	Natural groupings within the range of drainage area sizes were identified and categorized as small, medium, or large. Larger drainage areas received a higher score.	Large	25
			Medium	10
			Small	5
Pollutant Load Reduction Potential (Low-Med-High)	Y	Pollutant load reduction potential was estimated for the proposed projects and categorized as low, medium, or high.		
Pollutant Load Reduction Potential Score	Z	Pollutant load reduction potential was estimated for the proposed projects and categorized as low, medium, or high. High reduction potential received a higher score.	High	25
			Medium	10
			Low	5
Cost Projection (Low-Med-High)	AA	Project costs were estimated for the proposed projects and categorized as low, medium, or high.		
Cost Projection Score	AB	Project costs were estimated for the proposed projects and categorized as low, medium, or high. Lower costs received a higher score.	Low (<\$10K)	25
			Medium (\$10-50K)	10
			High (>\$50K)	5
Additional Design Required (Min-Med-Complex)	AC	Amount of additional design required was estimated based on the type of BMP being proposed, and categorized as minimal, medium, or complex.		
Additional Design Required Score	AD	Amount of additional design required was estimated based on the type of BMP being proposed, and categorized as minimal, medium, or complex. Projects with minimal additional design required receiver a higher score.	Minimal	25
			Medium	10
			Complex	5
Proximity to H2O (meters)	AE	Distance from BMP location to surface waters (meters).		
Proximity to H2O (Low-Med-High)	AF	Natural groupings within the range of the proposed BMPs proximity to water were categorized as low, medium, or high.	High	25
			Medium	10
			Low	5
Proximity to H2O Score	AG	Natural groupings within the range of the proposed BMPs proximity to water were categorized as low, medium, or high. High proximity to water received a higher score.		
Percent Impervious in Drainage Area	AH	The percent of impervious area within the proposed BMPs drainage area.	High (66-100%)	25
			Medium (33-66%)	10
			Low (0-33%)	5
Percent Impervious in Drainage Area Score	AI	Natural groupings within the range of the percent of impervious area within the proposed BMPs drainage areas, and categorized as low, medium, or high. High percent impervious received a higher score.		
Total Score	AJ	This field shows the total score for this project as defined by the sum of the above described criteria.	High (66-100%)	25
			Medium (33-66%)	10
			Low (0-33%)	5